How do wind turbines and fracking affect birds? Empirical evidence based on citizen-science data

Erik Katovich

Assistant Professor of Environmental and Resource Economics
University of Connecticut

September 9th, 2025

The Linnaean Society of New York

About me

- I am an Assistant professor in the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at the University of Connecticut
- I'm originally from Minnesota
- My research focuses on the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of natural resource sectors like oil, mining, and tropical agriculture
- I also love birds! I've been a birder my whole life, mostly in the US Midwest and Brazil






Blue Dacnis



Brassy-Breasted Tanager



Channel-Billed Toucan

This project was inspired by citizen-science data

Read about the Christmas Bird Count in the newspaper

Recalled recent debates in the media about how wind turbines might harm wildlife

Idea! Data from the Christmas Bird Count and my training in statistics might allow me to contribute some empirical evidence to this debate!



Source: Minneapolis Star Tribune

Energy generation from shale oil and gas extraction (fracking) and wind turbines has increased rapidly in the US in recent decades

- Shale gas production increased 20-fold from 2007 to 2020
- Wind energy capacity increased 48-fold from 2000 to 2020



Wind turbines in Palm Springs, California

Image source: CNBC (2019)




Fracking wells in Wyoming's Jonah gas field

Image source: SkyTruth/EcoFlight (2024)

Energy generation from shale oil and gas extraction (fracking) and wind turbines has increased rapidly in the US in recent decades

- Shale gas production increased 20-fold from 2007 to 2020
- Wind energy capacity increased 48-fold from 2000 to 2020



MARCH 11, 2024

United States produces more crude oil than any country, ever



Wind turbines in Palm Springs, California


Image source: CNBC (2019)




Fracking wells in Wyoming's Jonah gas field

Image source: SkyTruth/EcoFlight (2024)

Society faces cost-benefit tradeoffs when thinking about the transition toward clean energy sources



Media coverage has focused overwhelmingly on the impact of wind turbines on birds



Note: Number of US news stories covering effects of wind or shale on birds, from the International Newsstream Database. Keyword searches were conducted for (i) "Birds" AND ("Fracking" OR "Shale") and (ii) "Birds" AND ("Wind Energy" OR "Turbines"). News stories were restricted to the United States between Jan. 1st 2000 and Dec. 31st 2022.

Trump Cracks Down on Bird Deaths, but Only From Wind Turbines

Critics accused the administration of a double standard, trying to stop wind projects because of potential bald eagle deaths while easing rules for oil and gas companies.

Trump rails against wind energy in fundraising pitch to oil executives

At a Mar-a-Lago dinner, Donald Trump doubles down on promises to derail a key form of clean energy that competes with fossil fuels

HEALTH & SCIENCE

Wind farms under fire for bird kills

Should we be concerned? What do we know from the existing studies?

- Previous studies estimate that **turbines kill between 140,000-679,000 birds per year in the US** (Loss et al., 2013)
 - Studies are mostly based on counting bird kills under a few turbines and extrapolating
- This is tiny compared to estimates of birds killed by buildings (~600 million/year) or cats (>1 billion/year) (Loss et al., 2013; Loss et al., 2014)

Should we be concerned? What do we know from the existing studies?

- Previous studies estimate that **turbines kill between 140,000-679,000 birds per year in the US** (Loss et al., 2013)
 - Studies are mostly based on counting bird kills under a few turbines and extrapolating
- This is tiny compared to estimates of birds killed by buildings (~600 million/year) or cats (>1 billion/year) (Loss et al., 2013; Loss et al., 2014)

How does my study contribute?

- I measure and compare the effects of wind turbine and fracking installations on bird population and species counts between 2000-2020 for the entire lower-48 United States
- I use the latest statistical methods to improve causal estimates no extrapolations or modelling assumptions

Environmental impacts of wind turbines

 Wind energy is a key component of the energy transition – costs should be weighed against climate benefits



Image source: Let's Talk Science (2019)

Environmental impacts of wind turbines

 Wind energy is a key component of the energy transition – costs should be weighed against climate benefits

- Wind turbines may lead to bird avoidance behaviors during construction (Schuster, 2015).
- Collision risks are highest for migrant species and in previously undisturbed habitats (Kiesecker, 2011)
- Grassland birds exhibit avoidance behaviors within 300m of active turbines for several years after construction (Shaffer and Buhl, 2015)
- Turbines require extensive transmission lines and are sometimes located on hilltops or inside sensitive bird habitats



Image source: Let's Talk Science (2019)

Environmental impacts of fracking

- Air and water pollution, light and noise pollution (Black et al., 2021)
- Increased road traffic and dust (Spiess et al., 2020)
- Grassland bird species diversity declines as the number of shale wells increases (Maguire and Papeş, 2021)
- Birds exhibit avoidance behaviors within 350m of wells and 150m of roads (Thompson et al., 2015)
- Fracking causes landscape fragmentation, harming specialist birds (Tagliaferri et al., 2015)



Fracking pad in North Dakota

Image source: Bradford (2017)


Environmental impacts of fracking

- Air and water pollution, light and noise pollution (Black et al., 2021)
- Increased road traffic and dust (Spiess et al., 2020)
- Grassland bird species diversity declines as the number of shale wells increases (Maguire and Papeş, 2021)
- Birds exhibit avoidance behaviors within 350m of wells and 150m of roads (Thompson et al., 2015)
- Fracking causes landscape fragmentation,
 harming specialist birds (Tagliaferri et al., 2015)



Fracking pad in North Dakota

Image source: Bradford (2017)



Flaring from oil and gas fields outshines the largest cities


Image source: Washington Post (2017)

Research Question: How has the rapid expansion of wind turbines and shale oil and gas infrastructure affected bird populations and biodiversity in the United States between 2000 and 2020?


Research Question: How has the rapid expansion of wind turbines and shale oil and gas infrastructure affected bird populations and biodiversity in the United States between 2000 and 2020?

Data: National Audubon Society's Christmas Bird Count

- Annual bird census conducted on one day in December by citizen scientists
- Censuses are conducted in the same location (circle) each year
- Circles are divided into units and counters are coordinated by experienced volunteers
- Counting effort and weather conditions are recorded




Data reflect bird abundance and biodiversity in the winter




This dataset
misses
summer bird
biodiversity,
which means
real effects
across the year
are likely to be
larger

Data reflect bird abundance and biodiversity in the winter



This dataset misses summer bird biodiversity, which means real effects across the year are likely to be larger




I'm from Minnesota. There aren't many winter birds ☺

This seems like a nice winter birding location?

Data reflect bird abundance and biodiversity in the winter

Number of Birds Counted (2000-2020 Average, Winsorized) Snowy Owl

This dataset misses summer bird biodiversity, which means real effects across the year are likely to be larger





Northern Shrike



Pine Grossbeak





Great Gray Owl



Boreal Owl


Data: Shale oil and gas fields (Rystad Energy, 2022) and wind farms (US Wind Turbine Database, 2022)





Methodology


Using a method called "difference-in-differences," I compare bird population and species counts in "treated" circles where turbines or wells were built, relative to "control" circles where turbines or wells were not built



Methodology

Using a method called "difference-in-differences," I compare bird population and species counts in "treated" circles where turbines or wells were built, relative to "control" circles where turbines or wells were not built

I measure changes over time within a circle, before and after treatment. This controls for everything that is fixed at the circle level over time (e.g., biome). I also control for yearly changes that affect all circles (e.g., nationwide climate variations)




Methodology

Using a method called "difference-in-differences," I compare bird population and species counts in "treated" circles where turbines or wells were built, relative to "control" circles where turbines or wells were not built


I measure changes over time within a circle, before and after treatment. This controls for everything that is fixed at the circle level over time (e.g., biome). I also control for yearly changes that affect all circles (e.g., nationwide climate variations)

Control variables: I control for temperature, snowfall, and windspeed on the day of the count, number of counters who participated, and land-use changes within each circle




Results: effects of fracking wells

- Overall bird population counts decline by 15% in years following the construction of shale oil and gas wells
 near a bird circle
- Effects are largest for grassland/shrubland birds (-24%), non-urban birds (-23%), and migratory birds (-37%)




Results: effects of wind turbines

There are no statistically significant impacts of wind turbine construction on subsequent bird population or species counts, across all bird characteristics



The previous results showed effects of whether any turbines or fracking are present

How do effects vary by the **number** of wells or turbines built?




The previous results showed effects of whether any turbines or fracking are present

How do effects vary by the **number** of wells or turbines built?


Results look similar, but even more negative for shale – including negative effects on species diversity

A 10% increase in fracking wells reduces subsequent bird counts by 0.26%, or 3.6 birds per well drilled



What if we break things down by taxonomic orders?

- Negative effects of shale are largest for *Strigiformes* (owls), *Piciformes* (woodpeckers), *Falconiformes* (falcons), *Pelecaniformes* (e.g., bitterns, herons, pelicans), *Accipitriformes* (e.g., hawks, eagles, vultures), and *Passeriformes* (i.e., perching birds)
- No effect of wind turbines even for Accipitriformes




How do effects evolve over time?


Negative effects of shale appear immediately after well construction and persist for many years

No negative effects of wind turbines during or after the construction phase.

Shale Wells




Wind Turbines




Are effects different in places with sensitive bird habitats?


I use a map from the National Audubon Society to determine whether each bird circle falls inside an "important bird area"

- Migratory stopovers
- Breeding grounds
- Biodiversity hotspots



- Negative effects of shale are even larger when wells are drilled inside important habitat areas. Species diversity also falls
- Still no effects (or even slightly positive effects) for wind





Key takeaways


Fracking significantly reduces bird populations, and reduces biodiversity as well when wells are drilled inside important bird habitats

Key takeaways

Fracking significantly reduces bird populations, and reduces biodiversity as well when wells are drilled inside important bird habitats

Wind turbines have no measurable impact on bird populations or biodiversity


- This doesn't mean wind turbines don't result in collision deaths or disturbances.
- My study is looking at the *population level* and can't detect small numbers of collisions or avoidance behaviors within the circle.



We should still aim to minimize impacts of energy infrastructure on wildlife!

Wind Turbines

- Account for bird habitats, migratory patterns, and breeding grounds when choosing project locations
- Paying attention to bats: they might be more at risk from turbine disturbances than birds
- Adopt new technologies to minimize collisions and disturbances
 - Acoustic emitters
 - Cameras to detect incoming flocks and shut down turbines
 - · Painting or marking turbine blades to increase visibility



SOURCE: REPORTING BY K, ZIMMER KNOWABLE MAGAZINE

We should still aim to minimize impacts of energy infrastructure on wildlife!

Wind Turbines

- Account for bird habitats, migratory patterns, and breeding grounds when choosing project locations
- Paying attention to bats: they might be more at risk from turbine disturbances than birds
- Adopt new technologies to minimize collisions and disturbances
 - Acoustic emitters
 - Cameras to detect incoming flocks and shut down turbines
 - Painting or marking turbine blades to increase visibility

Fracking

- Avoid drilling inside important bird habitats
- Concentrate wells to avoid habitat fragmentation
- Limit night-time lights
- Restrict gas flaring and surface water pollution
- Ultimately, transition away from fossil fuels by accelerating adoption of renewables

